EXHIBIT No. 13

Page 1 of 1

Michelle Cole - J-Squared Contract

From:

Guibor Nina-Q10503 < IMCEAEX-

O=MOT_OU=MCG+5FDIABLO+5FIT_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=NINA+5FGUIBOR@

To:

Parslow Kevin-BLUW72 < Kevin. Parslow@motorola.com>

Date:

12/6/2002 4:40 PM Subject: J-Squared Contract

CC:

Kolasa Jeanne-MCG32018 < Jeanne. Kolasa@motorola.com>, Holt Paul-LPH001

<paul.holt@motorola.com>

Kevin,

Just an update finance met with Jeanne and contracts today to address our concerns with regards to the J-Squared contract. After the review, we determined that we are OK with it as written and the signed copy will be sent to them as soon as possible. We have noted some verbage changes for future contracts to clarify a few issues, but are comfortable with this one as is. Essentially, finance (including me) we mis-reading some of the requirements. Please note that with the contract as written, they will only receive commissions on POS activity until new direct opportunities are registered. This means that we are well under the \$10k per month budget - good

Thanks.

Nina

MOTJ 00522

CONFIDENTIAL

Interphase engaged with GL Williams in New Jersey.

Jeanne M. Kolasa Motorola Computer Group 602-438-3145

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Kolasa Jeanne-MCG32018

Sent: 9/20/2002 3:48:32 PM (Eastern Time)
To: Parslow Kevin-BLUW72; Holt Paul-LPH001

CC: Liston Jay-MCX1326; MCG-SALES NAMBDMs; Bodine Michael-RTDV80

Subject: Weekly Update on Rep Engagements

Kevin/Paul:

Below is progress made this week on rep firm engagements (In order of agreed upon priority). We're trying to align with Interphase and Zynx, where possible.

Bay Area - Dennis Robinson:

Dennis Robinson and Thorson met on 9-17 for update.

JK and Sue Hamlett meeting on 9-20 to review Thorson's contract response. Dennis Robinson, Michael Bodine, and JK meeting with Thorson in Bay Area on 9-23.

Con Call with Interphase, they're pursuing AACT as rep and will also contact Thorson.

Eval of other possible firms: ES West, Channel Access, AACT (Call into Leslie Canerday at Zynx to find out who they use)

So Cal - Jim McGregor

Liston and Sue Hamlett meeting on 9-20 to review West Coast Reps contract response.

Interphase engaged with Dilet and has a favorable relationship there....Jay to revisit Dilet

Canada - Larry Terry

 ${\tt J2}$, Larry Terry, Linsey Miller (Interphase), Jay, and JK to con call on 9-25 for initial

meeting.

Generic rep contract sent to Larry Terry for review.

South East - Ed Helder

JK, Lisotn and Ed Helder con called regarding moving forward with EMA. Interphase also engaged with EMA.

Initial engagement con call to be scheduled during week of 9-23 with JK, Liston and Helder.

Face to Face meeting on East Coast planned for week of 10-28 or 11-4.

East Coast Mil Aero - Al Currano

Initial con call on 9-20 with JK and Joe Palermino from RT Technology. Possible Tempe meeting being planned sometime in next 3 - 4 weeks. For the DC area, Interphase is considering Reptron and NewTec in addition to RT.

Other:

Received contact info from Ken Curran for Panatek in Chicago (Interphase also engaged with Panatek)

From: Blair Julie-C17394

Sent: 12/26/2003 1:52:31 PM (Mountain Time)

To: Parslow Kevin-BLUW72

CC: Guibor Nina-Q10503; Bensted David-G19500; Whitfield Lisa-MCX1611; Crawford

Kim-SCN105; Machernis Steven-QVCL80 Attachments: MR 2004 projection.xls

Subject: RE: MR performance documentation

Kevin, here is an update:

David has been working on a legal summary of our options, but got pulled into an emergency on Tuesday, so will try to do for us on Monday, 12/29. He left me some notes which I will use to summarize the analysis to date:

The attached excel file indicates that whether we cancel for convenience or let the contracts lapse, a conservative estimate (based on 2003 history) of our commission expense for Jan - Jul 2004 would be \$650k. We suspect that the 2004 POS in some of the MR territories could be significantly higher than in 2003 (Kim has given examples).

Therefore, as of now, our best alternative is to cancel for performance, but this is going to take input/work from your team. There is a 30 day "remedy" period related to this alternative, so we need to see some of Steve and Kim's data and have David advise us further.

Time is of the essence, so it would be ideal for Steve and Kim gather the details of each MRs performance according to the Exhibit 4 in their contracts. Can this be done by 12/30? or 1/6?

David is continuing to look for verbiage in the contract that may give us a better out. One avenue we are exploring is to notify specific or all MR's on Jan 7 (?) of a territory change per Section 3.1, in which we could remove particular POS accounts from their territory where we know they have had no involvement and we know the forecasted POS is significant. This would still entitle them to 120 days' commission, but that would be 2 months less than what they get based on cancellation for convenience or expiration. Then subsequent to the notification of territory change, we could possibly proceed with termination for performance. Steve and Kim would have to provide a list of these new exclusions.

Please share your inputs with the team. Julie

----Original Message----

From: Blair Julie-C17394

Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2003 4:45 PM

To: Crawford Kim-SCN105; Machernis Steven-QVCL80

Cc: Guibor Nina-Q10503; Parslow Kevin-BLUW72; Bensted David-G19500; Whitfield

Lisa-MCX1611

Subject: MR performance documentation

Importance: High

Steve & Kim,

David and I are going to spend some time on this analysis of the MR contracts Mon & Tues of next week. To outline what Kevin asked us to do we are going to look at these 3 termination options:

- 1) For cause (need to demonstrate how they have performed against the performance standards in their contract)
- 2) For convenience (we may be obligated to pay commissions for about 6 months for most MRs)
- 3) Let the contract lapse without renewal

Please send a summary of each of your MRs and their performance by 10am Tempe time, Monday, Dec 22 - this will be used in analyzing #1. If you don't have time to do a thorough analysis, please involve the help of the primary BDM if you see fit. I'm guessing we should only communicate it is for the purposes of an annual review and not the possibility of canceling so the word doesn't get out prematurely.

Please do what you can to make this a thorough analysis, as it seems like we are projecting significant POS activity in some of these territories in 2004, so #2 may be an expensive option which prohibits hiring requisition approval.

1 of 4

	AR.	MR 2004 projection.xls
	⋖	B
-		Letting contract labse
7		
က	1	1 J2 CAN
4	(N	ENA
ည	.,,	3 WCR
9	4	4 ES West
7	47	RT BCh
8	u u	6 J2 NW
တ	-	7 C&S
10		8 GL Williams
11	0,	9 Max Tech
12		
13		
14		David, if we do not agree to renew, there is no commission commitment beyond contract end date, correct?
15		In all cases there is less financial obligation when letting contract tapse, than when cancelling for convenience. BUT the exposure is hune POS months
16		BINDING PRODUCTION
17		
18		Cancelling for convenience
19		
20	-	1 J2 CAN
21	. 1	2 EMA
22	(,)	3 WCR
23	4	ES West
24	4)	S RT Tech
22	9	6 J2 NW
26	7	7 C&S
27	Ψ	8 GL Williams
78	U)	9 Max Tech
29		

4
₽
N

_	_		10			_	1.	Τ.	1.	_	T	1	1	í	1	_	7	7	-	ī		,		_	Γ.	T.	T		_
I		Feb		11.474	11.929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4.790					And in the particular of the section			Monthly Avg	3,929	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4,790	
၅		Jan	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4,790		The state of the s		And the second s				Comm through		7/29/04	7/29/04	7/29/04	7/29/04	7/29/04	7/29/04	7/29/04	9/9/04	
LL.		Monthly Avg	3,929	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4,790				The state of the s				Date of Termination	กล								3/13/04	
ш		Comm through	12/5/03	3/18/04	3/18/04	3/20/04	5/15/04	5/15/04	5/20/04	7/1/04	8/12/04	A Designation of the contract			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR				Date of notification	na Ta	1/1/04	1/1/04	1/1/04	1/1/04	1/1/04	1/1/04	1/1/04	2/12/04	
Ω		Contract Exp	12/5/03	3/18/04	3/18/04	3/20/04	5/15/04	5/15/04	5/20/04	7/1/04	8/12/04								Contract Exp	12/5/03	3/18/04	3/18/04	3/20/04	5/15/04	5/15/04	5/20/04	7/1/04	8/12/04	
O									Kim, 2004 projection?																		can't cancel until 1/1/04	can't cancel until 2/12/04	
	-	7	က	4	2	စ	-	ω	တ	9	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	2	7	22	23	24	2	78	27	78	29

O G	Sep					and the second s											Jul	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	The second secon
z	Aug									1,854							dun unb	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
Σ	JII								381	4,790							Max	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
_	돼					And the state of t			11,819	4,790			The state of the s				Apr	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
쏘	May					5,117	7,626	7,075	11,819	4,790	TAIRT - NATHER - CORP CARLOS - CARLO						Mar	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
7	Apr				The state of the s	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4,790							- Ge	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
		0	6,663	6,926	0/6'6	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	4,790			on 12/23, david informed me that we are tied to 6 months even for expiration				<u>Jan</u>	0	11,474	11,929	15,454	10,575	15,760	10,967	11,819	
	70	0	4	2	မ		œ	ത	19	-	12	13	14 on 12/23, c	16	17	18	19	20		2.1				-	27	-

3 of 4

4 of 4

projection.xls	ድ				less	SSƏ	less	ess	ess	less	less	less	NOT valid																	
MR 2004 pro	σ		TOTAL	•	29,611	30,784	40,877	47,418	70,665	50,943	71,294	35,381	376,972							TOTAL	•	128'08	83,500	108,175	74,026	110,318	76,768	82,731	38,316	654,156
_		-	2	3	4	ည	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	53

From: Watts Marc-BLUW124

Sent: 5/30/2003 8:26:55 AM (Mountain Time)

To: Bensted David-G19500; Blair Julie-C17394; Hamlett Sue-P25026

CC: Guibor Nina-Q10503; Robinson Dennis-BLUW119; Whitfield Lisa-MCX1611;

Parslow Kevin-BLUW72; Holt Paul-LPH001 Subject: FW: Contract for J-squared Oregon

Sue/David/Julie,

Here is the information that was requested from Kevin on the intent for Payment of J-Squared based on the March 31st signing from J-Squared President and the May 20th signing from Eamon with signature authority for Wendy.

Sue,

Can you please send to the team any approvals to move forward with back pay to J-Squared Oregon.

If there are any additional questions, please let me know.

Best Regards, Marc Watts Account Representative Motorola Computer Group 2410 Luna, Suite 132 Carrollton, TX 75006 Voice: 972-277-4613

Fac: 972-277-4666

Text page: 8779700180@skytel.com Email: marc.watts@motorola.com

----Original Message----

From: Parslow Kevin-BLUW72 Sent: Friday, May 30, 2003 9:49 AM

To: Hamlett Sue-P25026

Cc: Watts Marc-BLUW124; Guibor Nina-Q10503

Subject: Contract for J-squared

Sue

Just to confirm that the contract for J-squared should be dated effective 1st April 2003. They have been working since that date and should receive payment for April. The hold up was through me taking a more detailed examination of all the Rep agreements.

Thanks

Motorola Computer Group Loughborough Park Loughborough LE11 3NE. UK

Telephone +44 (0) 1509 634346 Mobile +44 (0) 7771 724010 Fax +44 (0) 1509 634333 Mailto:Kevin.Parslow@motorola.com

Brooke Myers - critical - need your input

Pageseof:04-cv-00960-SLR

From:

Blair Julie-C17394 < J.Blair@motorola.com>

To:

Crawford Kim-SCN105 < Kim.Crawford@motorola.com>, Machernis Steven-

QVCL80 <Steven.Machernis@motorola.com>

Date:

1/7/2004 4:56 PM

Subject:

critical - need your input

CC:

Guibor Nina-Q10503 < Q10503@motorola.com>, Parslow Kevin-BLUW72

<Kevin.Parslow@motorola.com>, Bensted David-G19500

<david.bensted@motorola.com>

Steve & Kim, David, Kevin and I just met to further our strategy on the MRs. By 10am tempe time, tomorrow, Thursday, please validate your MRs' compliance with section 2.7 of the contract as follows:

"Noncompetition. Representative will not sell, offer for sale, or act as sales agent for the solicitation of orders for any products that are competitive with any of the Products as defined by Motorola; nor will Representative engage in any activity that may result in a relationship with current or future customers that creates a conflict of interest as defined by Motorola*

If they were carrying competitive products on their line card or doing anything else that conflicts with this clause, it would be grounds for immediate termination with no financial liability. Kevin felt you could do this very quickly.

Then by 2pm tempe time, please provide a list of customers and/or programs that each of your MR's HAS had interaction with. To Steve's good point, for each identify whether they brought this in as new business (without the help of MCG or the distributor) or whether they have been supporting a design we brought in or a current run rate program.

We are all in agreement that no BDM should be brought into these discussions until this preliminary detail is analyzed. When we are close to taking action, you may be able to ping them for more accurate details.

Kevin would like to be able to take decisive action on this by Monday, Jan 12. Your help is critical. Please call me asap if you are unclear on what we are looking for. Thanks. jb